

**\* communism is treason! \* fight it with common sense!**



**The Nation's Anti-Communist Newspaper**

# Common Sense

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

**SUBSCRIPTION RATES:**  
(24 issues annually)  
Plain envelope, unsealed . . . \$1.  
Plain envelope, sealed . . . \$3.  
Foreign & Canada, (10 mos) \$1.

**"The Truth, the whole Truth,  
and nothing but the Truth"**

*Without fear or favor,*  
**Conrad A. Clancy**  
FOUNDER AND EDITOR

TENTH YEAR ISSUE 244

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U. S. A., JANUARY 15, 1956

FIVE CENTS

## The NCRMA-A Communist Tool?

### A Call To Action!

American Coalition, 1025 Conn. Ave., Wash. D. C.

**ANALYSIS OF LEFTIST PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT PENDING IN THE SENATE AND HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEES.**

(Editor's Note:

Those of us who are dealing with such matters as this, realize fully the directive power behind the forces trying to break down our Immigration Laws are the "Jewish" organizations. Every "Jewish" organization and publication, along with the Communist publications, carry on a constant campaign to destroy the McCarran-Walter Immigration Law. Just as Marxists were forced into Germany before the war in an effort to overthrow the German government from the inside. Just so these same forces are flooding our country with Marxists who, upon arrival here, become part of the Communist fronts working for the remaking of our country.)

The campaign to destroy the Immigration and Nationality Act (McCarran-Walter Act) is now under way. The opening blasts are right now being sounded in hearings conducted by the Senate Subcommittee on Immigration and Nationality under the Chairmanship of Senator Harley M. Kilgore (Dem., W. Va.) These hearings are being used as a sounding board for Left-Wing attacks on our immigration system. They will be followed by 1956 election-year legislation designed to appeal to so-called "minority block" and "liberal" votes by extracting the teeth from the McCarran-Walter Act and

(Continued on Page 3)



Communism is the implacable enemy of our country! Dedicated to our annihilation, it moves stealthily, employing all the wiles of a cunning animal. Today it soothes us with talk of peace. Tomorrow it rattles the saber. Always, it moves toward a calculated goal that has been spelled out for all of us to read. Every American must awaken to these realities if our nation is to survive.

By Bob Shuler, Editor, Methodist Challenge, 1801 So. Flower St., Los Angeles California

The national Committee for the Repeal of the McCarran Act is a new and vigorous organization, sponsored or initiated by 30 prominent Americans, among them being four Methodist Bishops, two from the West. While the aims and purposes of this organization may not be described as un-American, yet they are certainly in harmony with the activities of the Communist apparatus in this country.

I do not know and have never read of any Communist who did not want the McCarran Act repealed. Its repeal is a darling ambition of Moscow and tremendous pressure from Communist and Communist front groups is continually being brought to bear on Congress to that end. The repeal of the McCarran Act, known as the McCarran-Walter Immigration and Nationality Act, would be a major victory for international Communism.

This does not mean that the 30 "initiators" are Communists. There is possibly not a card-carrying Communist among them. They are "soft touches" when it comes to star-gazing internationalism. Most of them are Socialists and one-worlders. Some of them are personally sympathetic with the outwardly stated ideals and aims of Communism. Indeed it would pay my readers, if they can lay their hands on the Oct. 1955 number of American Mercury, page 51 and read "Immigration 1956 Issue." This is not only an illuminating article but a warning that all good Americans must sooner or later face.

The immigration problem goes to the very heart of our security as a nation. For instance in my city of Los Angeles we are faced with a most menacing juvenile delinquency contagion. We pick up the morning paper and read of gang murders and even worse. We read the names of those participating in this gangsterism. Not twenty-five per cent of them are American names. Youth crime in my city is largely a question of the foreign element.

Back in the days of prohibition, America became world-famous for racketeering and hoodlumism. The statistics showed that 86% of the gangsters and hoodlums that brought world shame on our nation were foreigners and of immediate foreign extraction. The FBI statistics, when released, place the preponderance of crime upon that part of our citizenship, either born in foreign lands or coming from parents thus born.

It is no secret that European and Asiatic ideals and moral standards are very different from those of America. We are poisoned as a nation with Communism and Socialism, not from American sources. We sent our young men to Europe to fight two world wars and received in return the corruption of American ideals and moral standards as in no other manner and from no other source. Our boys brought back and are bringing today an ideological poison that may doom our civilization.

The advocates of unlimited immigration have a tear-wet appeal that pictures starved children and jobless men and women, often brought to their present predicament by the very evils we seek to

—(Continued on Page 4)—

## US Recognition Of USSR

Statement by Senator Hatfield  
**A RELEASE TO MONDAY MORNING PAPERS, NOV. 13, 1933** (Three days before recognition of the Soviet Union)

The following release was given to the newspapers by then Senator H.D. Hatfield of West Virginia, on November 13, 1933, three days before F.D.R., submitting to pressure by Maxim Litvinoff, recognized the Soviet Union. Communism was on the verge of collapse when Roosevelt gave them added strength by this recognition. The reward Senator Hatfield received for supporting American patriotism was his defeat for reelection to the U.S. Senate in the campaign of 1934.

With all due respect to the great Slavic envoy now in our midst, and hoping that Lese Majeste is not yet a crime in the United States, I venture to manifest antagonism toward resuming diplomatic intercourse with Russia. I had hoped the President's inviting of Commissar Litvinoff to the White House was only a red herring drawn across the trail to divert the people's minds, start an argument on Bolshevism and thus conceal the collapse of N.R.A.

It becomes apparent, however, from negotiations of President Roosevelt and Commissar Litvinoff, who have been speaking the same economic language for some time—as evidenced by the similarity between America's N.R.A. and Russia's N.E.P.—that the establishment of a Soviet Embassy in Washington is imminent. That is to be deplored.

This great nation, which proclaims to the world its belief in a supreme being, in the imperishable motto of its coins, in the mes-



Lazar M. Kaganovich (Jew)  
Lord and Master of the Soviet Empire  
(See story on page 2)

sages and state papers of its Presidents, other public documents, the acts of Congress, and the oaths of its officers, now admits to its household the Apostles of another religion—for Leninism is a religion that says: "There is no God!"

In recognizing Soviet Russia, we are not

(Continued on Page 2)



## US Recognition Of USSR

(Continued from Page 1)

only recognizing a government that would destroy our religion, but one which would destroy what remains of our Republic.

Since last March 4, the Russian imprint on the minds of those in control of this nation's destiny has been unmistakable. It was evident when the President from his bedside called for dispatches from Moscow. It was evident in the N.R.A., which is merely an adaptation of Russia's Marxian 5-year plan. It was evident from the Russian conversations in London of professor Moley, who had admirably welcomed Commissar Litvinoff to America. It was evident in the expeditionary voyage of Senator McAdoo.

Those who favor recognition of Russia are supposed to have a great tolerance and breadth of mind. But is that really so? Is our trade with Russia to be financed by the American government? If so, that means displacement of so many Americans from present and future jobs. Are they to pay us with money obtained from exports to other nations? If so, then they displace by so much exports that formerly went into these markets.

When it is considered Russia has an area of 8,000,000 square miles, a climate ranging from the Arctic to nearly torrid; with 167,000,000 regimented population, and with enormous natural resources, unsurpassed by any contiguous area in the world, it can be seen that we would be financing our greatest potential commercial rival. Is that good business?

Metternich, who fought Democracy with an iron hand under a velvet glove, proved to the world that governments cannot conquer an idea. No one advocates the policy of a modern Metternich towards Russia. We can wisely let the experiment proceed, hoping that the Russian people—and ourselves, too—eventually can come back to sanity.

It is stated that recognition involves no approval of the Russian way of life. That is arrant sophistry. Such mental processes descend to the same depths of moral ignominy as was displayed when our government condoned repudiation of the letter of its bonds.

Can a mere paragraph of high-sounding words assure the American people that Moscow does not intend to foment a Red revolution here? The facts of record, as adduced by Representative Hamilton Fish, Jr., are to the contrary.

The prospect of an enormous trans-oceanic trade with Russia is dangled before our eyes. Ought we to yield to this kind of blandishment? Should America's morals, or her religion, be put up for sale?

It would appear further that after lending \$28,000,000,000 of American money to public and private borrowers in foreign lands, and being confronted with defaults on the greater part of these immense sums, that we had learned our lesson. If private creditors will not lend to Russia, is it any better risk for the government of the United States? With all due respect to Commissar Litvinoff and Senator McAdoo, we cannot forget that the latter in his day was one of the greatest spenders of modern times.

A dole of nearly \$1,000,000,000 has been spent, or is being spent in support of NRA. If any further dole be decreed to sustain this tottering edifice, by all means let it be given direct to our suffering people, rather than to Russia.

We have had enough of "Guinea Pig" government in the United States. By that I mean the kind of government that views its citizens as a vast horde of Lilliputians, incapable of managing their own affairs, and whose principle obligation is to toil to support a luxurious bureaucracy. I mean the kind of government that looks upon its citizens as a vast laboratory of Guinea Pigs, on which the experiments of economic theorists may be performed.

We have had enough of Caesarism in America. Not since Louis XIV has the world witnessed such a subservient and sycophan-

tic clique as that which until very recent days applauded through press, radio and movies, the lightest gesture of the White House.

Shall this clique now lead us into financing extinction of what remains of democracy in western civilization? Can we be sure that Russia has stifled a yearning for a warm water port, in quest of which she fought four unsuccessful wars?

Do we want to finance another war, when we have scarcely begun to pay for the last one, that brought the victors (ourselves excluded) \$100,000,000,000 booty in seized lands, indemnities, and trade privileges and set up a train of woe that afflicts the world, and particularly the American people to this day?

I am aware that the extinction of democracy might prove palatable to such theorists as professors Moley, Berle, Ezekiel and Tugwell, who might be described as the "Red Cell" of the present Administration, as evidenced by the bills they sponsored and put over during the hysteria of the hundred days—but are the American people ready to say goodbye for ever to the teachings of George Washington, Thomas Jefferson and Abe Lincoln?

If, as stated by a high NRA administrator, we have reached the half-way house between Democracy and Socialism, and if, as he states that half-way house is better than the democracy that our forefathers practiced, then, I inquire why not go on to the full Marxian Socialism? The truth is that neither NRA nor NEP has proved able to provide economic security to the individual man. The former rests on prodigious doles. The latter is here pleading for financial sustenance.

I should think it would be wiser at the moment to confine our program to the frontiers of America, beyond which our control ceases. The issue as between Democracy and Communism can no more be compromised than Henry Clay could compose the issues that found their solution at Gettysburg and Appomattox. There is no half-way house.

Those who promised an economic heaven a few months ago have tragically failed to bring us to it. The prospect for an overnight Utopia has vanished. Is it to be wondered at, when the tyrannous bureaucracy called NRA ventures to fix the prices, the days and the hours labor shall toil, and at the same time halves the dollar they formerly got.

Let us not be diverted, if such is the purpose, by an extended debate on Russia. We can well afford to wait until Bolshevism has proved itself better than Democracy.

## Israel and World War III

Much is being said about the situation in the Middle East these days with the majority of the reports favoring the "State of Israel". The reason being that the word has been passed down that now is the time for Uncle Sam to adopt the "poor little State of Israel", and since this so-called state has decided to wage war on the Arab countries, setting off World War III, the American people are to get the business.

The situation today (that is, for the brain-washed Goy) the Communist countries are supporting the Arab States and the latter are picking on the defenseless "State of Democracy", therefore, the United States has decided to supply Israel with the means to protect themselves.

The true story, (and the one which no one should believe because then the cat would be let out of the bag and we wouldn't have World War III, and it would naturally follow that this would be bad for our economy, that's ready to fall to pieces, plus the fact that millions of our boys would be deprived of the privilege of dying in Israel) is that the Jews running the State of Israel and the Jews running the Soviet Union have it all arranged where the latter supplies arms to the Arab States, which scare Hades out of America, forcing America to throw billions down the rat

hole. At the same time Israel and America become jealous lovers and when the little Israel brat gives the Arabs a shin kick Uncle Sam comes in with both fists swinging. In the meantime, our co-existent pal in the Soviet prepares for the day when Uncle Sam is so spread out all over the place that the only manpower he has at home are the Boy Scouts. When that day comes, Soviet Russia, which hasn't lost a man or fired a rifle shot, will drop everything on the United States including the Ural mountains. What happens from there on is everyone's guess. The sensible people will wish that they were on Boga-Boga island, or better still, playing with the angels.

Now, it isn't fair for Common Sense to make such rash statements about Soviet Russia being run by Jews, particularly, since every newspaper in the land has been giving our poor persecuted brethren such a build up in the past few months. However, for those who have not been entirely brain-washed, we would like to quote from a few of those "honorable persons" in substantiation of our humble opinion; therefore, we quote: from (His Excellency, the Jewish Lord and Master of the Russian Empire) Mr. Lazar M. Kaganovich, First Deputy Premier and Economic Expert, Nov. 6, 1955, Moscow: "Revolutionary ideas know no frontiers, Communism will triumph from within each country and cannot be imposed from without. The Marxist conception of society spread throughout the globe despite the absence of radios, telephones, or airlines at the time of Karl Marx....We do not advise anyone to test our forces, particularly those who have already experienced them.... No one will ever be able to break the close bonds linking the Soviet people with the broad people's masses, with the working class of all countries of the world."

From the Canadian Weekly in an interview with Mr. J. B. Salsberg (Jew), former Labor Progressive Party provincial M.P. of Ontario, Aug. 18, 1955. "Mr. Salsberg told the Vochenblatt that he was impressed by the signs which point to a renewed extension of Jewish cultural and religious endeavors in the Soviet Union since the elimination of the Beria clique. While in Moscow he attended a Yiddish concert, the comedy-drama theater, one of three concerts given on successive days by Saul Lyubimov, a well known interpreter of Yiddish folk songs.

"The theater was packed to capacity despite the heat and the vacation season. In fact all three concerts were sold out in advance. I met Mr. Lyubimov and learned that on the completion of his Moscow concert he commences a country-wide tour with the same program that will cover among other cities, such centers with large Jewish populations as Minsk, Vitebsk, Kiev, Odessa, Kharkov, etc."

"Four days after the Lyubimov concerts there was scheduled in Moscow another evening of folk songs and reading from Yiddish literature featuring the renowned Yiddish artist of Chernovitz, Sidi Tal."

Mr. Salsberg visited with Rabbi S. Shlifer, chief rabbi of Moscow. The interview continues: "The rabbi informed Mr. Salsberg that a new prayer book for Sabbath and holiday services is now in print, and will be completed before the coming high holidays. 'It will be,' said Rabbi Shlifer, 'a very fine technical job because the appropriate government agencies have made available the finest book paper for this purpose.'

"Interestingly enough," said Mr. Salsberg, "the prayer book will be in nusach sard (sephardic text). These prayer books will be made available to all synagogues in the Soviet Union."

"In addition he learned that a rabbinical seminary will be established in conjunction with the great Moscow synagogue for the training of rabbis to meet the needs of the Jewish religious communities in the USSR."



# A Call To Action

(Continued from Page 1)

opening the flood gates to untold numbers in respect of assimilability or desirability.

Unless you and other patriotic people and organizations register **STRONG AND CONTINUED** demand on Capital Hill for the preservation of the McCarran-Walter Immigration and Nationality Act, this vital bulwark to our internal security will be destroyed—and all in the name of "Democracy, Brotherhood, and Peace."

## 1. NATIONAL ORIGINS QUOTA SYSTEM

### (a). The Immigration and Nationality Act

The Immigration and Nationality Act carries forward the national origins quota system pursuant to which the annual quota of any quota area is one sixth of one percentum of the number of inhabitants in the continental United States in 1920 attributable by national origin to such quota area, but each quota area has at least a minimum quota of 100. The annual quotas aggregate 154,653. There are no quota limitations on aliens who are natives of independent countries of the Western Hemisphere or who are the child or spouse of a citizen of the United States. There are likewise no numerical limitations on non-immigrant aliens seeking temporary admission (students, visitors, trainees, etc.).

During fiscal year 1954, there were a total of 208,177 immigrants admitted into the United States for permanent residence under the Immigration and Nationality Act. Of this number, 94,098 were quota immigrants and 114,079 were nonquota immigrants. These statistics do not include immigrants admitted pursuant to special legislation such as the Refugee Relief Act. During fiscal year 1952 (the last fiscal year prior to the enactment of the Immigration and Nationality Act) there were a total of 186,342 immigrants admitted into the United States for permanent residence. Of this number, 117,051 were quota immigrants and 69,291 were nonquota immigrants. These statistics likewise do not include aliens admitted pursuant to special legislation. It is thus evident that immigration to the United States has increased since the enactment of the Immigration and Nationality Act. This is due principally to the more liberal provisions of the Act pertaining to non quota classes. For example, under the old law only the female spouse of an American citizen was entitled to nonquota status. Under the Immigration and Nationality Act either spouse of an American citizen is entitled to nonquota status.

The year 1920 was the date of the first census immediately prior to the enactment of the national origins quota system, but the ascertainment of the national origin of the population of the United States was made by a special commission of experts who studied the background of each of the many segments of our population. At that time it was ascertained that five sixths of the population of the United States traced its national origin to Northern and Western Europe, one sixth to Southern and Eastern Europe and an infinitesimal number to oriental countries.

### (b). Leftist Proposed Amendments

It is proposed by the Leftist forces that the annual quota of any quota area shall be one sixth of one per centum of the number of inhabitants in the continental United States in 1950 attributable by national origin to such quota area. Since the population of the United States has increased tremendously since 1920 (the base year for fixing quotas under the Immigration and Nationality Act), the net effect of the proposal to change the base year to 1950 would be to increase the quotas by at least 85,000 annually.

It is proposed by the Leftist forces that the unused portion of all quotas shall be made available in the next succeeding fiscal year to aliens on waiting lists who are chargeable to any quota which does not exceed 7,000. The net effect of this proposed amendment is to transfer the unused portion of the large quotas for natives of Northern and Western Europe to orientals and to natives of Southern Europe to orientals and to natives of Southern

and Eastern Europe. This would emasculate the national origins immigration system which seeks to preserve the basic cultural composition of the United States. The philosophy underlying our national origins quota system is stated by the House Committee on Immigration and Naturalization of the 68th Congress as follows:

"Since it is the axiom of political science that a government not imposed by external force is the visible expression of the ideals, standards, and social viewpoint of the people over which it rules, it is obvious that a change in the character of composition of the population must inevitably result in the evolution of a form of government consonant with the base upon which it rests. If, therefore, the principles of individual liberty, guarded by a constitutional government created on this continent nearly a century and a half ago, is to endure, the basic strain of our population must be maintained and our economic standards preserved."

During fiscal year 1954 there were 60,559 unused quota numbers. These are principally from Northern and Western European countries. By assuring the use of unused quota numbers and by increasing the natural quotas by 85,000, the proposal would thus increase annual quota immigration by more than two and a half times with a total annual immigration (both quota and nonquota) of over 350,000. Other proposals of the Leftist forces are to abolish the national origins quota system entirely and to substitute a politically appointed board to fix quotas for various groups.

## 2. ORIENTALS

### (a). The Immigration and Nationality Act

The Immigration and Nationality Act establishes quotas for oriental countries in the world. The old law excluded aliens of certain races (principally oriental) entirely. The Immigration and Nationality Act provides, however, that orientals born in non-oriental countries must be chargeable to quotas for orientals.

### (b). Leftist Proposed Amendment

It is proposed by the Leftist forces that this limitation be removed from the Immigration and Nationality Act, so that orientals could use the quota of the country in which they are born and if they are born in nonquota countries of the Western Hemisphere, they would be immediately eligible for immigration to the United States without numerical limitations. It is estimated that there are over 600,000 orientals who are natives of nonquota countries of the Western Hemisphere alone. All three major oriental groups in the United States—Chinese-American, Japanese-American, and Philippine American—endorsed the Immigration and Nationality Act as realistic and sound.

## 3. DEPENDENT COLONIES

### (a). The Immigration and Nationality Act

Under the Immigration and Nationality Act, dependent colonies may not use over 100 quota numbers annually of the mother country.

### (b). Leftist Proposed Amendment

It is proposed that this provision be eliminated. Thus, under the proposal the natives of the dependent colonies of Great Britain, with a quota of 65,000, could utilize as much of the quota as would be available.

## 4. PROCEDURES

### (a). The Immigration and Nationality Act

The Immigration and Nationality Act is grounded on the pronouncement of the Supreme Court that immigration to the United States is a privilege and not a right. Accordingly, the decisions of the American Consul abroad denying visas have not been subject to judicial review only by way of writ of habeas corpus.

### (b). Leftists Proposed Amendment

It is proposed by the Leftist forces that a Board of Visa Appeals be established within the Department of State to review consular decisions. Under the Administration Procedures Act the decisions of the Board of Visa Appeals would in turn be subject to court review. It would be virtually impossible for an American Consul abroad to sustain his findings in an American court thousands of miles away. This would be especially true in security cases where the declination is often based upon government reports and in medical cases where the declination is often based upon the findings of medical examiners abroad.

## 5. SECURITY CASES

### (a). The Immigration and Nationality Act

Under the Immigration and Nationality Act, the Consular Officer, at the time of the application for a visa, and the Attorney General at the time of application for admission, may decline to issue a visa or admit an alien if they find or have reason to believe that the alien seeks to enter the United States to engage in activities which would endanger the welfare, safety or security of the United States.

### (b). Leftist proposed Amendment

It is proposed by the Leftist forces that both the Consular Officer and the Attorney General be forced to establish in court the grounds upon which the alien is refused a visa or is excluded. This would either destroy our intelligence system by disclosing government security information or would mean that aliens within the excludable category would not be excluded.

## 6. ADJUSTMENT OF STATUS

### (a). The Immigration and Nationality Act

It is estimated that there are from 3 to 5 million aliens illegally in the United States. Under the Immigration and Nationality Act, provision is made for the adjustment of status of illegal aliens to that of permanent residents under certain carefully prescribed limitations and subject to Congressional review. Every year between 10,000 and 15,000 such cases are processed.

### (b). Leftist Proposed Amendment

It is proposed by the Leftist forces that the Attorney General may, in his discretion, adjust the status of any alien within the United States to that of an alien lawfully admitted to permanent residence, provided he finds that the alien is a person of good moral character, that such relief is not contrary to the best interests of the United States and that the alien is otherwise deserving of relief. On the basis of past experience and the political pressures inherent in such a proposed system, it is clear that the proposal would completely emasculate our deportation system and further the incentive for illegal entry.

## 7. CRIMINALS

### (a). The Immigration and Nationality Act

The Immigration and Nationality Act exempts from deportation an alien who is deportable for a crime, but who has received a pardon in the United States for the crime.

### (b). Leftist proposed Amendment

It is proposed by the Leftist forces that an alien shall not be excluded upon the basis of a crime for which he has received a pardon, irrespective of the place of procurement. Thus, under the Leftist proposal, the admission into the United States of convicted aliens would be at the discretion of the governing authorities in the foreign country. It is also proposed by the Leftist forces that no alien be deported by reason of any conduct occurring more than 10 years prior to the institution of deportation proceedings. It should be noted that it often takes several years to detect and apprehend a criminal alien. In New York City alone some few years ago, there was a backlog of investigations of illegal aliens of approximately 50,000.

## 8. LOSS OF NATIONALITY

### (a). The Immigration and Nationality Act

For generations the laws have provided that naturalized citizens lose their citizenship if they return to the country of their origin and re-establish residence for three years or establish residence for five years in any other country without returning to the United States. The Immigration and Nationality Act contains exceptions in specific cases of hardship.

### (b). Leftist Proposed Amendment

It is proposed by the Leftist forces that there be no loss of nationality by residence abroad. Thus, under the Leftist proposal, naturalized citizens would enjoy the protection of the American Flag, social security benefits and the like though they may never return to the United States or perform any of the duties of citizenship.

## WRITE TO CONGRESSMEN AND SENATORS

## AROUSE GROUPS AND ORGANIZATIONS WITH WHICH YOU MAY BE ASSOCIATED!

### SENATE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Harley M. Kilgore, W. Va. Chairman  
James O. Eastland, Miss. Alexander Wiley, Wis.  
Estes Kefauver, Tenn. William Langer, N. Dak.  
Olin D. Johnston, S.C. William E. Jenner, Ind.  
Thomas C. Hennings, Mo. A. V. Watkins, Utah  
James O. Eastland, Miss. Arthur V. Watkins, Utah  
John L. McClellan, Ark. Everett M. Dirksen, Ill.  
Price Daniel, Tex. Herman Welker, Idaho  
Jos. C. O'Mahoney, Wyo. John M. Butler, Md.

### SUBCOMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION

Harley M. Kilgore, W. Va., Chairman  
Olin D. Johnston, S.C. Everett M. Dirksen, Ill.  
Thomas C. Hennings, Mo. John M. Butler, Md.

### HOUSE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Emanuel Celler, New York, Chairman  
Francis E. Walter, Penn. Chauncey W. Reed, Ill.  
Thomas J. Lane, Mass. Kenneth B. Keating, N.Y.  
M.A. Feighan, Ohio Wm M. McCulloch, Ohio  
Frank Chelf, Ky. Ruth Thompson, Mich.  
Edwin E. Willis, La. Patrick J. Hillings, Calif.  
James B. Frazier, Tenn. S. Crumpacker, Jr., Ind.  
Peter Rodino, Jr., N.J. Wm. E. Miller, N. Y.  
Woodrow W. Jones, N.C. Dean P. Taylor, N. Y.  
E.L. Forrester, Ga. Usher L. Burdick, N. D.  
Byron G. Rogers, Colo. Laurence Curtis, Mass.  
Harold Donohue, Mass. John M. Robson, Ky.  
Sidney A. Fine, New York DeWitt S. Hyde, Md.  
Jack B. Brooks, Texas Richard H. Poff, Va.  
William M. Tuck, Va. Hugh Scott, Pa.  
Robert T. Ashmore, S.C. James M. Quigley, Pa.  
Charles A. Boyle, Ill.

### SUBCOMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION

Francis E. Walter, Penn. Chairman  
Michael A. Feighan, Ohio Frank Chelf, Ky.  
Ruth Thompson, Mich. DeWitt S. Hyde, Md.



## The NCRMA

(Continued from Page 1)

avoid in America. The displaced person appeal is a sobbing story and none can deny it. So is the recital of plagues and pestilences that have ravished mankind and which we fence away as though the very survival of humanity depended upon it. Why not transport the hundreds of thousands of lepers to our nation? It would even be humanitarian, though criminally foolish, to empty the asylums and prisons of the world and prepare for their inmates in the good old U.S.A.

We have turned our purses upside down and are seeking to finance the world. Some of our dreamers believe in going even further and, at the risk of our ideology and civilization, making our country the dumping ground of the world's unfortunates. If there should not be a back-wash of the destruction of all we hold dear, following such a policy, it might be justified on the ground of altruism. But history is already written. It is not only written in our own country, as a result of the initiation of foreign ideology, but it is written in the entire history of mankind. God hammered relentlessly on the necessity of Israel and Judah protecting themselves from the cheap and false ideologies of neighboring countries. Their idols constituted a most menacing threat. Muddy the stream of civilization or Christianity by turning in the poisonous tributaries of Communism or paganism and the end is disaster. It has always been.

Such reasoning as this, founded upon the realistic facts of human relationships, has caused men like Senator McCarran, whose name is most prominently mentioned with reference to this law, to seek to sanely and in a humanitarian manner build a barrier of law against unlimited entrance of foreign immigrants and ideals into the United States. The "initiation" of what is known as NCRMA or The National Committee for the Repeal of the McCarran Act was brought about by the Communistic and radical forces that are constantly brewing opposition to the American Way of Life.

It is doubtful if the 30 men and women whose names appear on this sponsorship actually weighed the perils as over against the benefits, even to humanity at large, of throwing open our gates to a modified unrestricted entrance of the unfortunates, unfits, misfits and actually dangerous foreign elements that await an open door policy. The United States will fling open her doors at the risk of her very life.

As a Methodist minister for a long life, I deplore the fact that out of the 30 sponsors of the NCRMA 18 were ministers of American churches. That four of them should have been Methodist Bishops is beyond the bounds of explanation.

It has been my contention that the moment America is financially bankrupt, she ceases to be able to help the starved and impoverished world about her. Certainly, the moment she becomes bankrupt in her ideology and moral character, she ceases to be of worth to a less fortunate humanity, whether within her borders or in foreign lands. To assist mankind, she must maintain her ideals, her moral standards, her civilization and her Christianity. She can not do so by opening the gates to the corrupting influences and personalities of the world's less fortunate peoples.

I am the last man on earth to claim that this country has not benefited by the coming to our shores of thousands of foreign peoples who sought asylum and opportunity here. My own people thus came to America. But they also fought in the revolutionary war to set up and maintain on these shores a nation of freedom and law and order. We can not afford to surrender that eternal vigilance by which our invaluable ideals are fortified and caused to perpetuate themselves.

Four Bishops of the Methodist Church may not have meant to do it. They may have been thoughtless. They may not have studied the facts. They may not have read well their own Bible on the rise and fall of the people of their own

## "Look Magazine", Jews, and The Truth

November 28, 1955

The Editor  
LOOK MAGAZINE  
488 Madison Avenue  
New York, 22, N.Y.

Dear Sir:

Quite naturally the editors of LOOK are free to publish their views on "THE POSITION OF THE JEWS IN AMERICA TODAY." But when for years, the Jews have put forth the falsehood that there are 5,500,000 Jews in the United States, while the sum of the Jews scattered over the nation today totals over 13,000,000. The Jews, realizing that millions of Americans disapprove of them as citizens, try to keep their real numbers secret.

The statement that 6,000,000 Jews were slaughtered by Hitler is totally incorrect. At that time there were less than 600,000 Jews in Germany, and many of them left the state when Hitler's policy became known.

As to their patriotism, Washington wrote in his Memoirs that the action of the Jews in manipulating the currency to their advantage during our fight for independence, was more destructive to our cause than the bullets of the enemy. When General Grant learned how the Jews were robbing the people left in the wake of war behind his army, he ordered them out. But even in that day their power in Washington was sufficient to have the order revoked.

The efforts of the Jews to avoid the draft are too well established for discussion. The fact that here and there a Jew rendered conspicuous or even gallant service in a combat unit only serves to bring that situation into clear perspective.

Again, as to their patriotism and love of country, it was not so long ago that Jewish leaders, meeting in Paris, proposed that the Jews over the world be allowed a double allegiance, a primary allegiance to Israeli, and a secondary allegiance, to the country in which they were domiciled at the moment. But this was so raw that it killed itself.

If anyone is really interested in knowing what the Jews are up to in the United States, all he has to do is to read the Budget of the American Jewish Committee, which gives all the details. It shows that with their great tax-free funds, how powerful is their influence as they attempt to destroy the basic principles of our government. But the Jews are frank in admitting their real position. As an example, a Rabbi points with pride to the close affinity between Communism and Judaism. Another states that the Talmud contains the basic principles that still govern the Jews, especially in their dealings with Christians. One Jew sized up the situation in a few words when he said: "We Jews are always the same. We squawk to High Heaven when we think we are being persecuted, but we are the tyrants of the world when we rule."

As for Israeli, it has been the scene of the greatest atrocity in history. No crime throughout the world compares with the cruelty accorded the Arabs in that area. We, a supposedly Christian nation, were the first to acknowledge and approve of the consummation of that unparalleled tragedy. It helped the Administration of that day politically at home; but it established definitely a situation that will lead to World War III.

Gen. George Van Horn Moseley

The following is a letter to the Editor of LOOK Magazine, postmarked: Brooklyn, New York.

Mr. William Attwood:

I read your article in LOOK on position of the Jews in America. In my opinion this is merely a propaganda article-Pro-Jewish and should be labeled as such. You write about anti-semitism but nowhere do you really state WHY.

### Common Sense\*

Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.

Conde McGinley, Editor

\$1 for 30 copies, \$3 for 100, \$10 for 500

One year's subscription, \$1. Three years for \$2.50

First Class (Sealed)—\$3.00 per year

FOREIGN 10 MONTHS \$1.00

### Common Sense\*

Published twice monthly at

530 Chestnut St. Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

\*Trade Mark Registered United States Patent Office

Christian faith, e'er Jesus was born in Bethlehem. But they have evidently done a serious disservice to Christianity and their nation by signing their names to the initiation of the Communist-inspired NCRMA. It aims at the very life of Christianity and the freedom of mankind.

Why are they disliked? Is it because of their religion? No. It is because of inherent traits. It is not just Christians that dislike them but people in almost all the nations of the whole world. It has been going on for thousands (not hundreds) of years. Their own testament tells how they were driven into captivity and how the Lord brought about their release but it did not change them. In the early part of this century we read about pogroms in Russia in which Jews were killed on the streets. WHY? There were no pogroms against any other race or creed. In Germany Hitler could not contain himself against them. After the war the question came up regarding the hundreds of thousands of Jews who did not want to go back to the towns where they were living before the war and they were classed as displaced persons. The call went out for the nations to absorb them but of all the nations of the world I did not read of one single country that rolled out the red carpet. Large countries like Argentina and Brazil could have taken them all in. Australia, with a population less than that of New York City but with a land area so large that it is called continent, could have taken them all. There are still thousands of square miles of land in these countries that needs settling but how many of them were taken. As a people they are not the farmer or producer type but always try to be the middle man. Not one nation gave them a real welcome. There must be a reason. Why? With the exception of possibly the new State of Israel. America took in more than all the rest put-together. WHY? Because we already had about four million of them and they represented votes to the politicians. We now have more than one half of all the Jews of the whole world.

For centuries the cry was for a homeland and through pressure they finally accomplished it in the State of Israel but what happened? Did they all flock there? Not on your life. Your own statistics show that only about 2,000 out of over five millions went there from America—one half of one percent. Why?

Are the Jews a race, a creed, a religious sect or all three. Just why was the State of Israel set up. Was it right to carve out a nation for one particular religion? Why not states for the other sects? Why Palestine? The Holy Land is just as sacred to Christians and Moslems as it is to Jews.

What about the Jews in America. In the pictures of outstanding Jews you show that of Justice Frankfurter who has been referred to as the top brains of the so-called leftist group of Harvard Lawyers. The people remember the spectacle of Mr. Frankfurter going into court as a character witness for Alger Hiss. It is claimed that Russia has the Atom Bomb because of the secrets stolen from the U.S. and passed on by traitors to Russian agents. Look over the names of those charged with supplying secrets to Russian agents. Rosenberg, Greenglass, Hiss, Coplon, and all the rest. Almost all Jews. What was behind all this? These people were not all poor and ignorant but were all people with good educations and satisfactory income.

There are so many things you fail to mention. Some years ago Spain drove every last one of them out of their country. Why? In the famous trial before Judge Medina in New York most of those on trial were Jews. How come you do not ask questions like these?

There are prejudices against all nationalities, races, and creeds, but none so universal over so many centuries. There must be answers. The way to do away with intolerance is to take stock and eliminate the things that make intolerance. There are a few fine Jews in America but they have to suffer because of the feeling against the large majority. The way to solve this question is for the Jewish leaders to bring these things before their people and try to get them to stop doing the things that make them disliked.

T. C.

## The Weak and Timid

FROM THE DISCOURSE GIVEN BY SAINT PIUS X ON THE OCCASION OF BEAUTIFICATION OF SAINT JOAN OF ARC, Dec. 13, 1908

"In our time more than ever before, the chief strength of the wicked lies in the cowardice and weakness of good men. All the strength of Satan's reign is due to the easy-going weakness of Catholics. Oh, if I might ask the Divine Redeemer, as the prophet Zachary did in spirit: 'What are those wounds in the midst of thy hands? The answer would not be doubtful: 'With these was I wounded in the house of them that loved me.' I was wounded by my friends, who did nothing to defend me, and who, on every occasion, made themselves the accomplices of my adversaries. And this reproach can be levelled at the weak and timid Catholics of all countries."

Entered as Second Class Matter, January 27, 1948 at the Post Office at Union, N. J., under the act of March 3, 1879.